Memorization vs. Reasoning: What the vec happens inside LLMs (when solving math questions)?

Marthe Ballon

Junior Colloquium UCL 27/11/2024

Vincent Ginis

Design by Seorin Kim

Part 1: What are Large Language Models? (the basics)

Imagine your company hired a new colleague who is...



Generative Pre-trained Transformer (GPT)

The model predicts the next token based on a given context/prompt.





Pre-training is compressing information through prediction



GPTs are pre-trained on internet data



Ruth Handler

Ruth Marianna Handler (née Mosko; November 4, 1916 – April 27, 2002) was an American businesswoman and inventor. She is best known for inventing the <u>Barbie doll</u> in 1959,^[2] and being co-founder of toy manufacturer <u>Mattel</u> with her husband <u>Elliot</u>, as well as serving as the company's first president from 1945 to 1975.^[3]

The Handlers were forced to resign from Mattel in 1975 after the <u>Securities</u> <u>and Exchange Commission</u> investigated the company for falsifying financial documents.^{[3][4]} - **Wikipedia**

Language	Percent	Language	Percent		
en	89.70%	uk	0.07%		
unknown	8.38%	ko	0.06%		
de	0.17%	са	0.04%		
fr	0.16%	sr	0.04%		
Sv	0.15%	id	0.03%		
zh	0.13%	CS	0.03%		
es	0.13%	fi	0.03%		
ru	0.13%	hu	0.03%		
nl	0.12%	no	0.03%		
it	0.11%	ro	0.03%		
ја	0.10%	bg	0.02%		
pl	0.09%	da	0.02%		
pt	0.09%	sl	0.01%		
vi	0.08%	hr	0.01%		

Fine-tuning base models into assistants

Going from GPT to ChatGPT in two steps: Step 1

Instruction tuning by training on curated data with a question-answer template.

<USER>

Can you write a short introduction about the relevance of the term "monopsony" in economics? Please use examples related to potential monopsonies in the labour market and cite relevant research.

<ASSISTANT>

"Monopsony" refers to a market structure where there is only one buyer for a particular good or service. In economics, this term is particularly relevant in the labor market, where a monopsony employer has significant power over the wages and working conditions of their employees. The presence of a monopsony can result in lower wages and reduced employment opportunities for workers, as the employer has little incentive to increase wages or provide better working conditions... Less focus on knowledge compression and more on how to extract knowledge via prompts.

Fine-tuning base models into assistants

Going from GPT to ChatGPT in two steps: Step 2

Reinforcement Learning through Human Feedback (RLHF).

Generate Multiple Answers

for each question and rank them (human feedback)

Train the Model

to return the preferred answers (via reinforcement learning)

What is new with OpenAl o1?

ChatGPT o1 talks to itself until it's satisfied with its answer

oyfjdnisdr rtqwainr acxz mynzbhhx -> Think step by step

Use the example above to decode:

oyekaijzdf aaptcg suaokybhai ouow aqht mynznvaatzacdfoulxxz



Thought for 19 seconds ^

Cracking the code

OK, let me see. The user provides a cipher method, asking to decode another message by averaging letter pairs' numerical positions. This approach needs clarity for precise decoding.

Analyzing encryption

OK, let me see. I'm examining the encrypted message "oyekaijzdf aaptcg suaokybhai ouow aqht mynznvaatzacdfoulxxz" to decode it effectively, leaning towards a letter pair-based method.

Decoding the puzzle

What is new with OpenAl o1?

Increasing compute at generation time trains models to "think"



Through reinforcement learning, o1 learns to hone its chain of thought and refine the strategies it uses. It learns to recognize and correct its mistakes. [...] This process dramatically improves the model's ability to reason.



Four misconceptions about LLMs



Why work with LLMs?

LLMs and Creative Work

Can LLMs Generate Novel Research Ideas?

A Large-Scale Human Study with 100+ NLP Researchers



Why work with LLMs?

LLMs and Creative Work

Article

Advancing mathematics by guiding human intuition with AI

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04086-x	Alex Davies ^{1⊠} , Petar Veličković¹, Lars Buesing¹, Sam Blackwell¹, Daniel Zheng¹,						
Received: 10 July 2021	Nenad Tomašev ¹ , Richard Tanburn ¹ , Peter Battaglia ¹ , Charles Blundell ¹ , András Juhász ² , Marc Lackenby ² , Geordie Williamson ³ , Demis Hassabis ¹ & Pushmeet Kobli ¹ ¹²⁰						
Accepted: 30 September 2021							
Published online: 1 December 2021	The practice of mathematics involves discovering patterns and using these to						
Open access	formulate and prove conjectures, resulting in theorems. Since the 1960s,						
Check for updates	mathematicians have used computers to assist in the discovery of patterns and formulation of conjectures ¹ , most famously in the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture ² , a Millennium Prize Problem ³ . Here we provide examples of new						
	fundamental results in pure mathematics that have been discovered with the assistance of machine learning—demonstrating a method by which machine learning can aid mathematicians in discovering new conjectures and theorems. We propose a process of using machine learning to discover potential patterns and relations between mathematical objects, understanding them with attribution techniques and using these observations to guide intuition and propose conjectures. We outline this machine-learning-guided framework and demonstrate its successful application to current research questions in distingt areas of pure mathematics, in each case						

Why work with LLMs?

LLMs and Creative Work

Conjecture. There exist constants c_1 and c_2 such that, for every hyperknot K, $|2\sigma(K) - \text{slope}(K)| < c_1 \text{vol}(K) + c_2$.

z: Knot		X(z): Geomet	Y(z): Algebraic invariants				
	Volume	Chern-Simons	Meridional translation		Signature	Jones polynomial	
8	2.0299	0	i		0	$t^{-2} - t^{-1} + 1 - t + t^2$	
8	2.8281	-0.1532	0.7381 + 0.8831 <i>i</i>		-2	$t - t^2 + 2t^3 - t^4 + t^5 - t^6$	
8	3.1640	0.1560	–0.7237 + 1.0160 <i>i</i>		0	$t^{-2} - t^{-1} + 2 - 2t + t^2 - t^3 + t^4$	

A big scientific debate

Memorization vs. Reasoning



The internal machinery of LLMs

LLMs convert text tokens to vector embeddings... and back

Part 2: How can we leverage vector embeddings?

#1: The Linear Representation Hypothesis and the Geometry of LLMs (K. Park 2024)

 16 High-level concepts are represented linearly in the representation space of a model 33

LLMs keep track of an embedding and unembedding space

A concept is a factor of variation that can be changed in isolation

The linear representation of a concept W is the direction determined by pairs (Y(0), Y(1))

There exists a causal inner product that maps the embedding and unembedding spaces to each other

Use linear algebra operations for measurement and manipulation

#2 Isotropy in the Contextual Embedding Space: Clusters and Manifolds (X. Cai 2021)

GPT-2 embeds tokens in low-dimensional manifolds in the embedding space

Building a Large Annotated Corpus of English: The Penn Treebank

Mitchell P. Marcus* University of Pennsylvania Beatrice Santorini[†] Northwestern University

Mary Ann Marcinkiewicz[‡] University of Pennsylvania

1. Introduction

There is a growing consensus that significant, rapid progress can be made in both text understanding and spoken language understanding by investigating those phenomena that occur most centrally in naturally occurring unconstrained materials and by attempting to automatically extract information about language from very large corpora. Such corpora are beginning to serve as important research tools for investigators

Dimensionality reduction via Principal Component Analysis

- $E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ matrix of vector embeddings
- Dimension reduction $d \rightarrow k =$ finding best fitting k-dim subspace of \mathbb{R}^d

```
Algorithm 1 Best Fit k-subspaceInput: A n \times d matrix EOutput: Vectors v_1, \ldots, v_rDetermine v_1 = \arg \max_{||v||=1} ||Av||k = 2repeatDetermine \alpha = \langle v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1} \rangleDetermine v_k = \arg \max_{v \perp \alpha, ||v||=1} ||Av||k = k + 1until v_k = 0return v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}
```

Dimensionality reduction via Principal Component Analysis

- Singular Value Decomposition: $\exists U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}, V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ s.t. $E = USV^T$
- $VV^T = I_d \implies F^T F = V^T E^T E V$ diagonal, $f_{ii} = \sigma_i(E)^2$ ordered squared singular values
- \Rightarrow Cov(F) diagonal \Rightarrow PCs correspond to directions with most variance
- Explained variance ratio $r_k \coloneqq \frac{\sum_{i=0}^k \sigma_i}{\sum_{i=0}^d \sigma_i}$, σ_i i-th largest eigenvalue of Cov(E)
- ϵ -effective dimension $d(\epsilon) = \arg \min r_k \ge \epsilon$

The embedding vectors of GPT-2 fall in a very narrow cone

The effective	dimension	d(0.8)
---------------	-----------	--------

Layer	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
BERT	262	273	271	273	276	283	288	282	282	282	283	270
D-BERT	244	226	232	227	217	175						
GPT	265	141	65	76	173	210	205	217	221	253	269	307
GPT2	114	73	1	1	1	1	1	8	26	66	116	1
ELMo	455	367										

The 3-dimensional embedding space of GPT-2 consists of isolated clusters

The embedding space of GPT-2 has a different geometry than

BERT

Part 3: How does GPT-4 (and o1) solve math questions?

Experiment pipeline (part 1)

A new challenging math benchmark

Difficulty: 3.0

Source: HMMT

Problem: You have infinitely many boxes, and you randomly put 3 balls into them. The boxes are labelled 1,2, ... Each ball has probability $\frac{1}{2}n$ of being put into box n. The balls are placed independently of each other. What is the probability that some box will contain at least 2 balls?

Solution: Notice that the answer is the sum of the probabilities that boxes 1,2,... respectively, contain at least 2 balls, since those events are mutually exclusive. For box n, the probability of having at least 2 balls is

 $3\left[\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right)\right] + \left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right)^3 = \frac{3}{2^{2n}} - \frac{2}{2^{3n}} = \frac{3}{4^n} - \frac{2}{8^n}.$ Summing to infinity using the geometric series formula, we get the answer $\frac{\frac{3}{4}}{1 - \frac{1}{4}} - \frac{\frac{2}{8}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{8}\right)}$ which is equal to $\frac{5}{7}$.

Answer: $\frac{5}{7}$

A new challenging math benchmark

Problem: A sequence of positive integers is defined by $a_0 = 1$ and $a_{n+1} = a_n^2 + 1$ for each $n \ge 0$. Find $gcd(a_{999}, a_{2004})$.

Solution: If *d* is the relevant greatest common divisor, then $a_{1000} = a_{999}^2 + 1 \equiv 1 = a_0 \mod d$ which implies (by induction) that the sequence is periodic modulo *d*, with period 1000. In particular, $a_4 \equiv a_{2004} \equiv 0$. So *d* must divide a_4 . Conversely, we can see that $a_5 = a_4^2 + 1 \equiv 1 =$ $a_0 \mod a_4$ so (again by induction) the sequence is periodic modulo a_4 with period 5, and hence a_{999}, a_{2004} are indeed both divisible by a_4 . So the answer is a_4 , which we can compute directly; it is 677.

Answer: 677

A new challenging math benchmark

Domain: Discrete Mathematics

Difficulty: 7.0

Source: USA team selection test

Problem: A tournament is a directed graph for which every pair of vertices has a single directed edge from one vertex to the other. Let us define a proper directededge-coloring to be an assignment of a color to every (directed) edge, so that for every pair of directed edges \vec{uv} and \vec{vw} those two edges are in different colors. [...] For each n, determine the minimum directed-edgechromatic-number over all tournaments on n vertices.

Solution: [...] For each \\(n\\), we aim to determine the minimum directed-edge-chromatic-number over all tournaments on \\(n\\) vertices. A construction is as follows: Label the vertices \\(\\{1, 2, 3, \\ldots, n\\}\\) and write each label in binary. Then for any two vertices \\(u, v\\), let \\(k\\) be the first position from the right which is different in their binary representations. [...]

Answer: [log₂n]

A new challenging math benchmark

Problem: A circle ω with radius 1 is given. A collection *T* of triangles is called *good*, if the following conditions hold:

- 1. Each triangle from T is inscribed in ω ;
- 2. No two triangles from *T* have a common interior point.

Determine all positive real numbers t such that, for each positive integer n, there exists a *good* collection of n triangles, each of perimeter greater than t.

Solution: Consider a circle ω with radius 1. We will determine the set of all positive real numbers t such that for each positive integer n, there exists a *good* collection T of n triangles inscribed in ω where each triangle has a perimeter greater than t. [...] Since each triangle in T is inscribed in a circle ω with radius 1, [...]

Answer: $0 < t \le 4$

Automated calls to the completions endpoint of the openai API

Domain: Discrete Mathematics

Difficulty: 7.0

Problem: A tournament is a directed graph for which every pair of vertices has a single directed edge from one vertex to the other. Let us define a proper directed-edge-coloring to be an assignment of a color to every (directed) edge, so that for every pair of directed edges \vec{uv} and \vec{vw} those two edges are in different colors. [...] For each n, determine the minimum directed-edge-chromatic-number over all tournaments on n vertices.

Model generation: To solve the problem of determining the minimum directed-edge-chromatic-number over all tournaments on n vertices, we start by examining the constraints for proper directed-edge-coloring in a tournament. [...] Key Observations: **Out-degree and Patterns**: In any tournament, each vertex has an out-degree of n - 1. Given that for each vertex v, the edges \vec{uv} (u as any vertex) appear, and there are n - 2 patterns $\vec{uv} \rightarrow \vec{vw}$, we need different colors for these patterns. **Chromatic Condition**: The directed-edge-coloring requires different colors for edges forming transitive triples. For any directed edge-coloring in a tournament [...]

n-1

Another LLM for correcting the answers

Difficulty: 7.0

Problem: A tournament is a directed graph for which every pair of vertices has a single directed edge from one vertex to the other. [...]

Model generation: To solve the problem of determining the minimum directed-edge-chromatic-number over all tournaments on *n* vertices, we start by [...] n-1

Omni-judge: ## Student Final Answer: n - 1 ## Equivalence Judgement: FALSE ## Justification: The student's answer of n - 1 does not share the same meaning as the reference answer, which is $\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor$. [...] The student incorrectly assumes a direct relationship between the number of edges and the number of colors needed for proper directed-edge-coloring in a tournament. In reality, the problem requires considering the combinatorial structure of the tournaments and how it affects the coloring requirements. The reference answer provides an accurate expression that reflects this complexity. === report over ===

Computing domain and difficulty specific accuracy

Experiment pipeline (part 2)

Dimensionality reduction via Principal Component Analysis

Where do which questions lie in the embedding space?

Where do which questions lie in the embedding space? (part 2)

There are endless possibilities for studying the geometry of embedding spaces...

• Euclidean \rightarrow ?

...

- Non-linear dimensionality reduction
- Non-convex or hierarchical clustering
- Topological Data Analysis, Manifold Hypothesis

More questions??

marthe.ballon@vub.be

Memorization vs. Reasoning: What the vec happens inside LLMs (when solving math questions)?

Marthe Ballon

Junior Colloquium UCL 27/11/2024

Vincent Ginis

Design by Seorin Kim